2

## **REMARKS**

In the Office Action, dated May 1, 2003, the Examiner states that Claims 1-10 are pending, Claims 1-6 are rejected, and Claims 7-10 are withdrawn from consideration. By the present Amendment, Applicant amends the claims.

In the Office Action, Claims 1-2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Spa (US 6,537,359). Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable over Spa in view of Nagano et al. (US 5,455,117) and further in view of Okada et al. (6,448,492). The Applicant respectfully disagrees with and traverses these rejections.

Spa does not disclose, teach or suggest the panel section containing a conductive material, as claimed in independent Claim 1.

In the present invention, because the conductive material is contained in the panel section, conductivity is developed and stimulates the decay of the electromagnetic waves. In addition, this composition as a dielectric causes the reflection of electromagnetic waves due to dielectric polarization of the incident electromagnetic waves. As a result, it has high electromagnetic wave-shielding ability, which is the peculiar function and advantage of the present invention.

Since Spa fails to disclose, teach or suggest the feature of the panel section containing a conductive element, the Applicant considers the rejection to Claim 1, and the claims dependent thereon, overcome.

The Applicant has made minor amendments to the claims to correct informalities which do not affect the patentability of the claimed invention.

013

3

In light of the foregoing response, all the outstanding objections and rejections have been overcome. Applicant respectfully submits that this application should now be in better condition for allowance and respectfully requests favorable consideration.

July 28, 2003 Date Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicant Brian W. Hameder c/o Ladas & Parry

224 South Michigan Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60604

(312) 427-1300 Reg. No. 45613